

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/627,753	07/28/2000	Kenneth J Livak	4264C5	2446	
22896 7	7590 02/04/2002				
	N, PATENT ADMINI	STRATOR	EXAMINER		
APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS 850 LINCOLN CENTRE DRIVE			RILEY, JEZIA		
FOSTER CITY	Y, CA 94404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656	13.	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2002	<i>⊮</i> J ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

م	<u></u>							
		Application No. Applicant(s)		oplicant(s)				
_		09/627,753	LI	VAK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		Jezia Riley		56				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 E	December 2001						
2a)⊠		is action is non-fina	I					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 39 and 40 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration	on.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[] 7	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[] 7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been receive	ed.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Application I	No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No		O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/627,753

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

1. The art unit for this application has changed. Applicant is informed, that any future response should be directed to Art Unit 1637.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed on 12/26/01, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/627,753

Art Unit: 1656

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller et al. (EPO 0 229 943, 1987) in view of Urdea et al. (4,775,619).

Applicants have the arguments that the reference do not suggest the instant invention because the instant claims wherein an increase in fluorescence intensity of the reporter molecules indicates the presence of the target sequence. This is not convincing because it is disclosed in Heller et al. page 6-7: "Maximum observed acceptor fluorophore emission was also found to be dependent upon hybridization of the probe to its complementary target sequence, viz., in the single probe embodiment efficiency was increased by hybridization."

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1656

- 6. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-39 of U.S. Patent No. 5,876,930 and claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,030,787. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming the same method but not of the same scope.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 703-305-6855. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

January 30, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER